Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 047503

12

ORIGIN EB-11

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 EUR-25 NEA-10 ADP-00 COME-00 TRSE-00

INT-08 OEP-01 SS-14 NSC-10 T-03 CIAE-00 INR-09

NSAE-00 RSC-01 OMB-01 L-03 H-02 /109 R

DRAFTED BY EB; ORF; FSE; GSBROWN: LED

3/14/73 EXT. 20681

APPROVED BY EB: ORF; FSE - GEORGE M. BENNSKY

NEA/ IRN - MR. ROUSE (SUBSTANCE)

R 142331 Z MAR 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT

AMEMBASSY JIDDA

AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 047503

E. O. 11652: GDS-4 TAGS: ENRG

SUBJECT: OIL CONSORTIUM - NIOC NEGOTIATIONS

- 1. SUMMARY. ACCORDING TO AMERICAN OIL COMPANY OFFICIALS, NIOC CONSORTIUM NEGOTIATIONS HAVE GOTTEN OFF TO DIFFICULT START, WITH NIOC TAKING HARD LINE ON GOI MANAGEMENT PEROGATIVES OVER SERVICE CONTRACTOR. US COMPANIES BELIEVE THAT MANAGEMENT PROVISIONS MUST BE SIMILAR TO THOSE IN PARTICIPATION AGREEMENTS OR ELSE RISK REOPENING OF LATTER, AND HAVE INDICATED TO US THEY UNHAPPY AT WILLINGNESS OF EUROPEAN AND BRITISH MEMBERS OF CONSORTIUM TO COMPROMISE ON THIS ISSUE.
- 2. WE UNDERSTAND THAT COMPANIES' OPENING NEGOTIATING PROPOSAL HAD STIPULATED THAT NIOC APPROVAL OF SERVICE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 047503

CONTRACTOR PLANS AND BUDGET (AS REQUIRED BY AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE REACHED WITH SHAH) BE MADE SUBJECT TO PRIOR AGREEMENT WITH CONSORTIUM MEMBERS. COMPANIES' GOAL WAS TO ASSURE THAT NIOC COULD NOT DICTATE MANAGEMENT DECISIONS OR IMPOSE UNECONOMIC PROJECTS ON CONTRACTOR; US COMPANIES IN ADDITION WERE ANXIOUS TO MAINTAIN COMPARABILITY WITH PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WHICH STIPULATES THAT MANAGEMENT DECISIONS BE JOINTLY TAKEN EVEN AFTER 51 PERCENT OWNERSHIP REACHED. NIOC NEGOTIATORS HOWEVER RESISTED ABOVE APPROACH, INSISTING THAT GOI MUST BE SEEN TO HAVE FULL MANAGEMENT CONTROL IF IT TO ACCEPT FINANCIAL

SETTLEMENT ONLY EQUAL TO AND NO BETTER THAN PARTICIPATION. IN CONSORTIUM MEETING OF PRINCIPALS MARCH 12 PRIOR TALKS MOVING FROM LONDON TO TEHRAN, AMERICAN AND EUROPEAN COMPANIES WERE BADLY SPLIT ON THIS ISSUE, BUT EVENTUALLY AGREED TO PROPOSE IN COMING ROUND OF NEGOTIATIONS THAT NIOC APPROVAL OF SERVICE CONTRACTOR BUDGETS BE MADE AFTER "CONSULTATION" WITH CONSORTIUM. FYI WE UNDERSTAND THAT COMPANIES MIGHT REQUEST TO SEE SHAH IF THEY UNSUCCESSFUL ON THIS POINT, BUT MIGHT BE PREPARED TO ACCEPT LOOSE CONSULTATION PROVISION PROVIDED OTHER TERMS OF SETTLEMENT WERE SUCCESSFULLY PINNED DOWN. END FYI.

- 3. ON FEE ARRANGEMENTS, WE UNDERSTAND FROM COMPANIES THAT CONSIDERABLE GROUND REMAINS TO BE COVERED, ALTHOUGH THEY REPORT THAT NIOC NEGOTIATORS APPEAR TO ACCEPT COMPANIES' GENERAL APPROACH FOR EQUATING BENEFITS OF NEW ARRANGEMENTS WITH POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF PARTICIPATION. IT FAIRLY CLEAR, HOWEVER, THAT GOI OFFICIALS (PARTICULARLY AMUZEGAR AND HOVEYDA) PREPARED TO RENEW ARGUMENT OF JANUARY NEGOTIATIONS RE APPLICABILITY OF PARTICIPATION TERMS TO IRANIAN SITUATION. FALLAH, HOWEVER, WHO CONSULTED WITH SHAH DURING COURSE OF LONDON MEETINGS, TOLD STEELE OF BP THAT GOI PREPARED TO ACCEPT FINANCIAL BENEFITS EQUAVALENT TO PARTICIPATION IF COMPANIES GAVE SHAH VISIBLE 100 PERCENT CONTROL OF MANAGEMENT; I. E. WITHOUT CONTRACTUAL STRINGS.
- 4. ON CARRY- FORWARD OF TERMS FROM 1954 AGREEMENT, NIOC NEGOTIATORS REFUSED TO AGREE TO INCLUSION OF OLD ARBITRATION CLAUSE, WHICH COMPANIES LIKE BECAUSE THEY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 047503

FEEL IT GIVES THEM SOME LEVERAGE IN NEGOTIATING DISPUTES

WITH GOI. AFTER HEATED DEBATE IN CONSORTIUM MEETING, COMPANIES AGREED TO AUTHORIZE NEGOTIATORS TO ACCEDE TO NIOC DEMAND FOR HOPCO- TYPE ARBITRATION CLAUSE IF NECESSARY (I. E. DISPUTES SETTLED UNDER PROVISION OF IRAN PETROLEUM).

- 5. NIOC NEGOTIATORS ALSO RAISED ISSUE OF RELINQUISHMENTS OF CONTRACT AREA, OR ALTERNATIVELY INCREASED AMOUNTS OF OIL FOR NIOC MARKETING. COMPANIES HAVE AGREED TO DISCUSS SOME RELINQUISHMENTS.
- 6. ON PROVISION OF CAPITAL, CONSORTIUM HAS PROPOSED, AND NIOC NEGOTIATORS APPEAR TO HAVE ACCEPTED, THAT COMPANIES WOULD ADVANCE 40 PERCENT OF REQUIRED CAPITAL. NIOC SAID, HOWEVER, THAT IN VIEW OF FACT THERE NO NIOC INVESTMENT PROVISION IN NEXT STATE BUDGET, IT WANTED COMPANIES TO PUT UP ENTIRE AMOUNT. (COMPANIES APPEAR TO EXPECT THAT GOI WILL CONTINUE TO REQUEST THEM TO PUT UP

MAJOR PART OF CAPITAL).

7. NEGOTIATIONS RESUMED MARCH 14 IN TEHRAN. WE EXPECT THAT AGREEMENT ON FEE STRUCTURING, AND MANAGEMENT PROVISIONS, WILL CONTINUE TO POSE MOST DIFFICULT PROBLEMS, WHICH UNLIKELY TO BE MADE EASIER BY KIBBITZING FROM AMUZEGAR, HOVEYDA, AND OTHER GOI OFFICIALS. SHAH HOWEVER WILL BE RETURNING TO TEHRAN TO KEEP CLOSELY IN TOUCH WITH NEGOTIATIONS, AND COMPANIES APPARENTLY HOPE HE WILL KEEP THEM ON EVEN KEEL. THERE MAY, HOWEVER BE FURTHER DIFFICULTIES BETWEEN CONSORTIUM PARTNERS, PARTICULARLY ON MANAGEMENT ISSUE. WE UNDERSTAND FROM BRITISH EMBASSY SOURCE THAT CONSIDERATION BEING GIVEN TO RESOLVING MANAGEMENT ISSUE BY MAKING SHAH COURT LAST RESORT FOR CONSORTIUM IN DISAGREEMENTS WITH NIOC. ROGERS

CONFIDENTIAL

^{***} Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

^{***} Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 14 MAR 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: worrelsw
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE047503

Document Number: 1973STATE047503
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: LED

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GDS-4 Errors: n/a

Film Number: n/a

From: SECSTATE WASHDC Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730330/aaaahwqe.tel Line Count: 153 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ORIGIN EB Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: worrelsw

Review Content Flags: Review Date: 24 JUL 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24-Jul-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <22-Aug-2001 by worrelsw>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971210
Subject: OIL CONSORTIUM - NIOC NEGOTIATIONS

TAGS: ENRG To: JIDDA KUWAIT LONDON **PARIS TEHRAN** THE HAGUE TRIPOLI

Type: TE Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005